



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,601	11/12/2003	Matthew W. Poisson	BA0434C (NORT10-00363)	5855
33000	7590	06/25/2008	EXAMINER	
DOCKET CLERK P.O. DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX 75380			DOAN, DUYEN MY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/706,601	<b>Applicant(s)</b> POISSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DUYEN M. DOAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2152	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 May 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/3/2004</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

**Claims 1-20 are cancelled. Claims 21-38 are presented for examination.**

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

The information disclosure statement filed 5/3/2004, some of NPL documents are not considered, because copies of NPL documents are not submitted.

### ***Specification***

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because improper language. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be a summary of the invention, not a recitation of a claim. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The invention concerns," "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 30-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 14 fails to fall within a statutory category of invention. Applicant's specification fails to provide any definition for a "program product." The term is given its broadest reasonable interpretation of "computer product" which is a software computer program (since it comprises software code). Thus, claims 30-38 directly to the program product itself, not a process occurring as a result of executing the program, a machine programmed to operate in accordance with the program nor a manufacture structurally and functionally interconnected with the program in a manner which enables the program product to act as a computer component and realize its functionality. Therefore, claims 30-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 for failing to fall within a statutory category.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Antur et al (us 6,243,815) (hereinafter Antur) in view of Wallent et al (us 6,366,912) (hereinafter Wallent).

As regarding claim 21, Antur discloses accessing via internet, a web page generated by a web-server associated a switch offering virtual private network functions (see Antur col.5, lines 42-80; col.7, lines 36-40, firewall supports VPN functions allow/not allow to access a particular website on the Internet).

Antur does not specifically disclose a graphical user interface configured to display at least one link.

Wallent teaches a graphical user interface configured to display at least one link (see col.7, lines 60-67 to col.8, lines 1-8, also see figure 6, interface display links).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an interface with links of Wallent to the invention of Antur for the purpose of providing the network operator to configure the network security devices with ease.

As regarding claim 22, Antur-Wallent discloses the link comprises an HTTP (Hyper Text Transfer Protocol) link (see Wallent, figure 6, HTTP links).

As regarding claim 23, Antur-Wallent discloses a link to a web-page comprising information describing users of the virtual private network functions provided by the switch (see Antur col.4, lines 31-46, firewall offering security functions).

As regarding claim 24, Antur-Wallent discloses a link to a web page comprising information describing packet filters provided by the switch (see Antur col.4, lines 31-33).

As regarding claim 25, Antur-Wallent discloses a link to a web-page comprising information describing access hours of the switch (see Antur col.4, lines 31-46).

As regarding claim 26, Antur-Wallent discloses transmitting an HTTP request when a link is selected b a user (see Wallent figure 6); and accessing a web-page associated with the link in response to the HTTP request, the web-page comprising configuration information related to switch (see col.7, lines 60-67 to col.8, lines 1-8, also see figure 6). The same motivation was utilized in claim 21 applied equally well to claim 26.

As regarding claim 27, Antur-Wallent discloses modifying the configuration information of the switch via the accessed web-page (see Antur col.9, lines 45-53, change the configuration of the firewall).

As regarding claim 28, Antur-Wallent discloses transmitting an HTTP request when a link is selected by a user (see Wallent col.7, lines 60-67 to col.8, lines 1-8, also see figure 6). The same motivation was utilized in claim 21 applied equally well to claim 28.

As regarding claims 29-38, the limitations of claims 29-38 are similar to rejected claims 21-28, and therefore rejected for the same rationale. Antur further discloses a list of switches offering virtual private network functions (see Antur figure 6c, list of firewalls which support VPN functions such as packet filtering, security).

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DUYEN M. DOAN whose telephone number is (571)272-4226. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2152

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. M. D./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2152

/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152